

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31316 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-76 Year-2026 Thana- NASRIGANJ District- Rohtas

=====

Suraj Ram @ Suraj Paswan S/o Krishna Ram @ Krishna Paswan Resident of
Village- Hariharganj, ward No. 9, P.S.- Nasriganj, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Siddharth Harsh, Advocate
Ms. Nandini, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Nasriganj P.S. Case No. 76 of 2026 for the offence under section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that on secret information, the Police reached near Sone river and while those present managed to escape, the chowkidar named him as one of the person who escaped. There is recovery/seizure of 30 liters country made *mahua* kept in the plastic jar behind the bushes. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a perusal of the FIR would show that recovery/seizure is from



an open place and only because of criminal antecedent, he has been implicated in the case.

5. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner relied on the judgment of the Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089** wherein it has been held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that he has criminal antecedents.

7. Taking into account the submissions of the parties and the judgment in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)**, as also that recovery/seizure is from an open place, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail with conditions.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the



satisfaction of Court concerned in connection with Nasriganj
P.S. Case No. 76 of 2026 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for one year to mark his attendance and after the end of the period, the certificate shall be submitted before the Trial Court failing which steps shall be taken for the cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioner shall desist from committing any



criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

