

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33855 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-119 Year-2026 Thana- GAUTAMBUDHNAGAR District-
Siwan

=====

Zahid Ali @ Zahid Sai Son of Irshad Ali Resident of village- Bhaluwara
Takia, P.S.- Gautam Buddha Nagar, G.B. Nagar, District- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.. ... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raghav Prasad, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 24-07-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with G.B. Nagar P.S. Case No. 119 of 2026, registered under
Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 132, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5)
of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, which has been lodged
on the basis of the written report submitted by the informant to
the effect that he went to the village Bhaluvada Takia for
revenue collection of the electricity department along with
Junior Engineer and other employees from the electricity
department. When the electricity team went to the house of Irsad
Sai having consumer no. 143308686120, it was found that Rs.
15,930/- is due to be paid by him towards electricity charges.
When he was requested to deposit the same, his son namely,



Zahid Sai (petitioner) and Irsad Sai along with his other family members as well as one unknown person were present there. In the meantime, the petitioner started using filthy language and assaulted on the head of the informant with a sharp iron weapon used for cutting wood, due to which the informant fell down and then the petitioner snatched a golden chain to the tune of Rs. 65,000/- and Rs. 53,290/-, which was collected by the informant towards revenue of the electricity department.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation leveled in the FIR is completely false and fabricated, the petitioner resides in a hut and has taken valid electricity connection. She submits that the employees of the electricity department came and started asking for illegal gratification to the tune of Rs. 10,000/- and when the same was refused the present FIR has been lodged. She further submits that even the injuries sustained by the informant have been found to be simple in nature and as per her assertion no electricity dues is pending in the name of the petitioner or his father. She submits that the petitioner has got a clean antecedent.

5. *Per contra*, the learned APP appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner assaulted the informant, while he was



on official duty and also snatched revenue collection to the tune of Rs. 53,290/- from the informant.

6. Having considered the rival submissions and after going through the records, it appears that although an allegation of assault on the head of the informant has been leveled against the petitioner, however from the case diary and the injury report appended thereto, it would transpire that the doctor, who has treated the informant, has found the injury to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. Considering the above, let the petitioner, above named in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-IV, Siwan in connection with G.B. Nagar P.S. Case No. 119 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and subject to the following condition:-

(I) That the learned court concerned shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court concerned shall take step for cancellation



of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Ritesh Kumar, J)

shital/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

