

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33534 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-31 Year-2025 Thana- Rajeshwari District- Supaul

=====

Laltu Yadav @ Pintu Kumar S/o Deep Narayan Yadav Resident of village -
Bhawanipatti, Ward No. 05, Police Station - Rajeshwari, District - Supaul
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 20-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in connection with Rajeshwari P.S. Case No. 31 of 2025 dated 16.02.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 329(3), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegations, the petitioner, along with the other co-accused persons, formed an unlawful assembly armed with lethal weapons, came to the doorstep of the informant, and started abusing him in filthy language. Upon the informant's protest, all the accused persons, including the present petitioner, asked the informant to come out of the house. It has further been alleged that when the informant's brother, Ravindra Yadav, along with Renu Devi, Manoj Yadav, and Simple Devi, came to rescue him, the petitioner, along with co-accused Pappu Yadav,



assaulted Ravindra Yadav on the head by means of a farsa and a sword, both being sharp-cutting weapons. It has further been alleged that Renu Devi was also assaulted on head and other body parts, due to which she received grievous injuries. It has further been alleged that lady members were misbehaved while cash amounting to Rs. 25,000/- a mobile phone, a silver bracelet and gold chain was snatched. It has further been alleged that Rs. 15,000/- in cash was also taken away from the informant. It has lastly been alleged that the injured persons were taken for medical treatment, whereafter Ravindra Yadav was referred from PHC, Chhatapur to Supaul and then from Supaul to Darbhanga and finally referred to Patna.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there is a delay of 16 days in lodging the present FIR. It has further been submitted that there is a land dispute between the parties, as the parties are agnates. It has further been submitted that a counter-case has been lodged against the prosecution party. It has further been submitted that as per the allegations, petitioner and co-accused Pappu Yadav have been specifically alleged to have assaulted by means of farsa and sword and it was specified that they were used a sharp cutting weapon upon injured, Ravindra Yadav and Renu Devi. Learned counsel for



the petitioner has further drawn the attention of this Court to the injury report of Ravindra Yadav, which shows that he has sustained two injuries, one of which is pain and swelling over parietal region of the scalp and that injury has been opined to be grievous in nature caused by hard and blunt substance, while, the injury report of Renu Devi goes to show that she has received two injuries one of which is grievous in nature too caused by hard and blunt substance and is primarily a bleeding injury in form of a Nasal Bleed. Learned counsel for the petitioner has thus submitted that neither Ravindra Yadav nor Renu Devi sustained any sharp-cutting injury, despite the specific allegation of assault by means of a farsa and a sword, it has further been submitted that there are two accused persons including this petitioner to have assaulted on head and nose of injured Ravindra Yadav and Renu Devi, respectively, but there is only one injury on the head and nose, which are opined to be grievous in nature. It has further been submitted that when there is an allegation of assault by sword and farsa, and specifying it to be a sharp cutting weapon in the FIR itself indicate that the injuries being opined to be caused by hard and blunt substance, falsifies the entire case. It has lastly been submitted that petitioner was made accused in one another case in which he is



on bail.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and has submitted that there is direct allegation against the petitioner to have caused grievous injuries to the injured persons.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Supaul in connection with Rajeshwari P.S. Case No. 31 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Sahil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

