

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30496 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2025 Thana- Mufassil District- Khagaria

Vikash Kumar @ Viko Yadav S/O Chhattri Yadav R/O Village- Mathar, P.S.-
Muffasil, Dist.- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar @ Ashok Karn. Advocate
For the State : Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 17-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail under Section 482 BNSS, apprehending his arrest, in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 75 of 2025 dated 30.05.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109 and 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act. Similar application filed by the petitioner has been rejected by the Court of Sessions vide order dated 19.02.2026, passed in A.B.P. No. 23 of 2026.

3. As per allegation, *baarat* for marriage had come at the house of the informant in which DJ music system was going on. As per further case of the informant, the petitioner and other



co-accused asked him to stop the DJ music. However, the same was opposed by the son of the informant, Abhishek Kumar Yadav, upon which Chattri Yadav and his three sons started assaulting him by lathi and danda and when his nephew, Kundan Kumar Yadav came to the place of occurrence, he was also shot at by three sons of the Chattri Yadav. The injured victim was taken to Sadar hospital wherefrom he was referred to Kalapana hospital, Begusarai for better treatment where his treatment was going.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case on account of land dispute between the parties.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has two criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail submitting that there are two victims in this case and both of them have received grievous injury. One victim has received injury by fire arm and another by hard and blunt substance.



8. Considering the nature of allegation and grievous nature of the injury caused to the victim, I am not persuaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

9. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

