

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30391 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRIYA District- Lakhisarai

Golu Kumar S/o Sanjay Sahni, R/o Village - Mohanpur, PS - Pipariya,  
District - Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rabi Bhushan, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      11-05-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Pipariya P.S. Case No. 89 of 2025, dated 15.10.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, Police received information about petitioner manufacturing country made liquor. A raid was conducted and a furnace was found running and a person fled away on seeing the Police party. On the search of the said place, 15 litres of illicit country made *mahua* liquor, some implements and raw materials for manufacturing illicit liquor was recovered. The person nearby named this petitioner as the person who fled away from the spot when the raid was conducted.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner was not apprehended from the spot and nothing incriminating has been recovered from his person or possession. The petitioner has no concern either with the allegedly recovered articles or with the place of recovery which is a public place accessible to one and all. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner is having antecedent of four cases and he is on bail in all the four cases. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is in custody since 19.02.2026 and chargesheet has been submitted.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposes the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the fact that petitioner was not apprehended from the spot and nothing incriminating has been recovered from his conscious possession and further considering his period of custody and submission of chargesheet, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional



District & Sessions Judge-VII-cum-Exclusive Special Excise Court-II, Lakhisarai / concerned Court, in connection with **Pipariya P.S. Case No. 89 of 2025**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

*(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.*

*(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.*

*(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.*

**(Arun Kumar Jha, J)**

Shahnawaz/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

