

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34467 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-5 Year-2024 Thana- CUSTOM (GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL)
District- Gaya

Sachin Narayani S/o- Sri Ashok Narayani Resident of House No- 34 Chandan
Aawas ward No- 51 Lingiyadih PS- Rajkishore Nagar, Dist- Bilaspur,
Chhatishgarh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. The Union of India through the Superintendent, Customs, Gaya International Air Port, Gaya Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Niranjan Kumar, Adv. Mr.Saket Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Shailendra Kumar, APP
For OP No. 2	:	Mr.Amit Pandey, Sr. S.C. DRI Mr.Rakesh Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

6 05-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned APP for the State.

2. In this case, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in connection with GIA Gaya Unit Case No. 05/2024-25, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 20(b)(ii)(b), 25 and 29 of NDPS Act.

3. According to the allegation, 8.800 kg (net weight) of narcotics substance contained in a suitcase was recovered, carried by the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated. The



quantity of contraband is though greater than small quantity but less than the commercial quantity. The petitioner was arrested on 29.12.2024 without complying the procedure of search and seizure.

5. On the other hand, the learned counsel for OP No. 2/customs has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the recovered contraband is Delta-9 Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) which is highly intoxicating narcotic substance, and its commercial quantity, according to serial no. 166 of Government’s notification, is 50 gm. He has also submitted that the quantity is much more than the commercial quantity. The petitioner was arrested with contraband which is highly intoxicant.

6. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, the petitioner doesn’t deserve the privileges for bail. Accordingly, it is rejected.

7. The learned court below is directed to expedite the trial.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

