

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7360 of 2026

Subedar Gahlaut Son of Late Ram Lal Gahlaut, resident of Village- Anjan
(Nima Anjan). P.S.-Madanpur. District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Department of Fishery, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Fishery Director, Department of Fishery, Magadh Zone, Gaya.
4. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Aurangabad.
5. The Additional Collector, Aurangabad.
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Aurangabad.
7. The District Fishery Officer -cum- Chief Executive Officer, Department of Fishery, Aurangabad.
8. The Fishery Development Officer, Aurangabad.
9. The Block Development Officer, Block- Madanpur, Aurangabad.
10. The Circle Officer, Circle- Madanpur, Aurangabad.
11. Shatrudhan Gahlaut, Son of Late Dhaneshwar Dusadh, resident of Village- Anjan, P.S.- Madanpur, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anirudh Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Advocate 05

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-06-2026 Heard Mr. Anirudh Kumar Verma, learned counsel for
the petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“for issuance of writ of mandamus
directing the authorities to conduct time bound,
scientific demarcation of the land in question*



through Competent Revenue Officials in presence of both parties, direct constitution of an independent inquiry to ascertain whether the Pond has been constructed over the petitioner's land and whether due procedure was followed before sanction, direct initiation of departmental and legal action against officials responsible for granting sanction without verification and ignoring objections, direct recovery and entire public money from the private respondent and direct removal of illegal structure or restoration of land to petitioner if encroachment is established direct fresh adjudication of petitioner's objection by competent authority after granting proper opportunity of hearing.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it will suffice if the matter is taken up by the Deputy Fishery Director, Department of Fishery, Magadh Zone, Gaya (respondent no. 3).

4. Learned State counsel has no objection to it.

5. In case, the proper petition/representation alongwith relevant documents is/are filed in next four weeks



before the concerned respondent, relevant parties shall be noticed and after hearing all of them, it is expected that the same will be disposed of with a reasoned order at an earliest.

6. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

