

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3152 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13064 of 2012

=====

Sahadeo Mishra S/o Sri Ramanath Mishra, Resident of Village and P.O.-
Barka Gao, Mansingh Patti, P.S.- Industrial Area, District- Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India Through The Sri Rajiv Gauba, Secretary, Home Affairs
New Delhi
2. The Staff Selection Commission through Sri J.P. Garg, Secretary Madhya
Khestra Bailey Road, Allahab
3. Sri J.P. Garg, Deputy Director, Staff Selection Commission, Madhya Khestra
Bailey Road, Allahabad.
4. Sri Rajesh Tikku, The Commandant 27th Bn., Sima Suraksha Bal SSB
Narkatiyaganj, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Shruti Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.S.D Sanjay Addl. Soc. Gen.
For S.S.C.	:	Mr. Radhika Raman, Sr. Panel Counsel

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 12-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for initiation of Court of Contemnt
proceeding against the respondents who
dispute observation passed by this Hon'ble
Court in C. W. J. C.No. 13064/2012 order dt.
31.7.12 Passed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.N.
Hussain (as His Lordship then was) while*



dismissing the writ application of the petitioner i.e. " if the petitioner produces original documents within two weeks from today before respondent no.4, the latter will Consider the possibility of including him in the Medical test if the same has not Concluded. "have not Complied the same even though the petitioner within time had appeared before them and produced his original documents and even approached S.S.C., Allahabad on their direction and then to S.S.C. Headquarter C.G.O. Complex New Delhi an asked and submitted his original documents on 4,9,12 whereafter then he was told that he would be called, but the Medical Test of the petitioner has not been taken till date, which is Contempt of this Hon'ble Court's order, or for any other order of orders as your Lordships may deem fit and proper under the Circumstances of this case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



matter has become infructuous and as such he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.

4. Accordingly, the contempt petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

