

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30023 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-315 Year-2022 Thana- MADANPUR District- Aurangabad

Suresh @ Loha @ Loha Singh @ Suresh Singh Bhogta S/o- Sohar Singh
Bhogta @ Sohar Singh Village- Tilhe Tand, P.S.-Dhangai, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amit Anand

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nand Kishore Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant of regular bail in connection with Madanpur P.S. Case No. 315 of 2022 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 353, 120B of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act and Sections 3, 4, 5 of the Explosive Substance Act and Sections 16, 18, 20, 38 of the U.A.P. Act. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 09.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 60921 of 2025 which reads as under:-

*Heard learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in
connection with Madanpur P.S. Case No. 315 of 2022
registered for the offence punishable under Sections*



353, 120B of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act and Sections 3, 4, 5 of the Explosive Substance Act and Sections 16, 18, 20, 38 of the U.A.P. Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the allegation FIR named accused persons is that they planted many explosive substances to harm security forces. It is further alleged that upon search many explosive articles were recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. The petitioner was not apprehended on the spot and he has no concern with the alleged occurrence. It is further submitted nothing incriminating article has been recovered from his conscious possession. The petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 22.04.2025 and he is accused in three more cases which are under UAP Act.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Considering the participation of the petitioner in the alleged offence and also considering the fact that the petitioner is a naxal and he is involved in anti-national activities, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

3. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for



the petitioner that till now only charge has been framed.

4. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court finds no ground to review its earlier order.

5. Accordingly, this application is dismissed again with direction to the concerned court below to expedite the trial.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

