

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30854 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2025 Thana- HARINMAR District- Munger

Jeetan Yadav S/o Vipat Yadav R/o Village - Goraiya Bathan, P.S - Gogari,
District - Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Indu Bhushan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Mushtaque Alam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-07-2026 Heard Mr. Indu Bhushan, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Md. Mushtaque Alam, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Harinmar P.S. Case No. 26 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 13.07.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109, 303(2), 115(2), 351(2) & 352 of the BNS, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused persons including this petitioner armed with deadly weapons have brutally assaulted the informant's son and one Vikas Yadav shot fire upon him due to which the son of the informant received injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. Although the petitioner is named in the FIR but there is no specific allegation against the petitioner. In fact, the specific allegation of firing is attributed against the co-accused Vikas Yadav and at best the petitioner is member of the mob.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one but fairly submits that he is on bail in the said case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner rather the allegation of firing is against the co-accused Vikas Yadav, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class-cum-Additional Munsif-7, Munger in connection with Harinmar P.S. Case No. 26 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-



i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

