

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30130 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-313 Year-2025 Thana- DARPA District- East Champaran

1. Santosh Sahani S/o Panchu Sahni Resident of Village- Tinkoni, ward no. 1, P.S- Darpa, District- East Champaran
2. Panchu Sahni S/o Late Rangi Sahani Resident of Village- Tinkoni, ward no. 1, P.S- Darpa, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhandev Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyameshwar Dayal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 118(2), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner, Panchu Sahni (petitioner no.2), along with his wife, knocked down the brother of the informant and started assaulting him with *fists* and *kicks*. It is further alleged that petitioner Santosh Saini (petitioner no.1) also knocked down the informant and assaulted him. A specific allegation against Santosh Saini is that he assaulted one Manohar with an *iron rod*



on his head. However, the blow was warded off, due to which Manohar sustained injury on his wrist, and thereafter, another blow was inflicted on his head. It is also alleged that petitioner Panchu Sahni assaulted the informant with an *iron rod* on his head.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in connection with the present case. It is further submitted that there is also a counter version of the occurrence. It has further been submitted that, from perusal of the order of the learned trial court, it would transpire that the injuries sustained by the victims are superficial in nature, which is apparent from their dimensions. Moreover, the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 19.02.2026 having no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be released on bail in connection with Darpa P.S. Case No. 313/2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned
S.D.J.M. East Champaran, Motihari.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

