

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30685 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-194 Year-2025 Thana- ANDHRAMATH District- Madhubani

Deependra Gupta @ Deependra Prasad Gupta S/O Late Laxman Prasad Gupta
R/o village - Baruar ,Ward No. 2, P.s- Andhramath, District - Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rebni Devi W/O Late Rajendra Gupta R/o village - Baruar, P.s- Andhramath, District - Madhubani

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 21-07-2026 Heard Mr. Ravi Prakash, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Choubey Jawahar, learned APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Andhramath P.S. Case No. 194 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 64, 74, 76, 85, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner allegedly committed rape upon the informant and also assaulted her along with her brother.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner has not committed any such offence as alleged against him. It is next submitted that no medical evidence of sexual assault has been found at the time medical examination of the victim. The petitioner is in custody since 31.12.2025 and has got one criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation levelled against the petitioner of assaulting and committing rape upon the informant. It is further submitted that statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 also supports the prosecution case. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial. However, if the trial is not concluded within a



period of five months from the date of receipt/production, the petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

