

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32577 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-320 Year-2025 Thana- LALGANJ District- Vaishali

=====

Ram Ekbal Pandit Son of Late Munsii Pandit Resident of village - Neknampur,  
P.S.- Devariya, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kunti Devi Wife of Anup Pandit Resident of village - Panchdamiya, P.S.-  
Lalganj, District.- Vaishali

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      18-05-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in connection with Lalganj P.S. Case No. 320 of 2025 registered under Sections 304(B) and 34 of I.P.C.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that, one Khusboo Devi was married to Anil Kumar in year 2016. The deceased is having three issues out of the wedlock. It is further alleged that she was subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand and she was killed by her in-laws.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that from perusal of the annexure P/3, it transpires that



deceased died on 05.11.2022, whereas, the complaint was filed on 25.04.2025. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further been submitted that as per the F.I.R., on 22.12.2024, when the complainant went to the matrimonial house of the deceased, they came to know that the deceased has been killed, despite that, there is delay in filing of F.I.R. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, moreover, the petitioner is the father-in-law of the deceased and nature of allegation is general and omnibus. He is in custody since 26.02.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Lalganj P.S. Case No. 320 of 2025.

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

khushbu/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

