

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30879 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-181 Year-2025 Thana- JHANJHARPUR District- Madhubani

1. Kaili Devi Wife of Late Ram Awtar Mahto Resident of Village - Maheshpura, Ward No. 01, P.S.- Jhanjharpur, District - Madhubani.
2. Ram Dulari Devi @ Ram Kumari Devi Daughter of Late Ram Awtar Mahto Resident of Village - Maheshpura, Ward No. 01, P.S.- Jhanjharpur, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Baleshwar Kamat, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Amitesh Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Jhanjharpur P.S. Case No. 181 of 2025 dated 21.10.2025 (G.R. No. 1997 of 2025) registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 118(1), 351(3), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that due to a land dispute, the accused persons, including the petitioners, allegedly assaulted the informant and his family members with deadly weapons, causing injuries, and also snatched cash and a gold chain from the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the



petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that, for the allegations levelled in the F.I.R., four persons have been made accused, out of whom two have already been taken into judicial custody, and the injuries sustained by the informant and his family members have been found to be simple in nature. It is also submitted that there is no allegation of any overt act against petitioner no. 1, while the allegation of assaulting the informant by means of a *hasua* has been made against petitioner no. 2, whereas injury report speaks of hard and blunt substance. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering that two co-accused persons have already taken into custody and the injuries having been found simple in nature as also the petitioners have no criminal antecedent, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional



Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Jhanjharpur, Dist. Madhubani in connection with Jhanjharpur P.S. Case No. 181 of 2025 dated 21.10.2025 (G.R. No. 1997 of 2025), subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. as well as the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document / personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(Ajit Kumar, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

