

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1430 of 2021**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2028 of 2019**

=====

Pradeep Kumar Rai S/o Banke Bihari Rai R/o village- Bare Patti, P.S-  
Jadopur District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Amrit, the Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna
2. Mr. Arshad Aziz The District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
3. Mr. Braj Kishore Singh The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Gopalganj.
4. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh The Block Development Officer, Barauli, District- Gopalganj.
5. Mr. Vaibhav Shukla The Block Development Officer, Kuchaikote, District- Gopalganj.
6. Mr. Praveen Kumar Singh The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                       |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Ranjan Kumar Srivastava, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Lalit Kishore (AG)                |
| For the State            | : | Mr. Rakesh Kumar Ranjan, APP          |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      12-03-2026

Heard the parties.

2. The present matter has been preferred for :

*“initiating a proceeding of  
contempt against the Opposite Parties for  
wilful disobedience to the Order dated  
25.06.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Mr.  
Justice Ahsanuddin Amanullah in  
C.W.J.C. No. 2028 of 2019, whereby and  
whereunder the Opposite Party no. 2, i.e,*



*the District Magistrate, Gopalganj has been directed to ensure that the revised payments, in terms of the order of the Commissioner, Saran division dated 15.11.2019, are paid to the petitioner after making fresh calculation with regard to the differences, within three months from the date of production of a copy of this order before him. It would also be examined by the District Magistrate, Gopalganj with regard to whether the admissible statutory interest on payments already made to the petitioner have been made. If it transpires that under any head, the statutory interest admissible has remained unpaid or has been paid less, the same shall also be paid to the petitioner within the same period. In compliance of the order of this Hon'ble Court, the petitioner also submitted his Representation dated 29.06.2020 to the District Magistrate,*



*Gopalganj i.e, Opposite Party No. 2,  
however it has not been considered yet.”*

3. It has been accepted by the parties that the order stands complied.

4. Accordingly, MJC No. 1430 of 2021 is disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

atul/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

