

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36117 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-97 Year-2026 Thana- PARSA District- Saran

=====

Lalan Singh @ Ram Lagan Singh S/O Mahesh Singh R/O Village-
Bhesdih,P.s-Bheldi, District-Saran,Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 02-06-2026 The Court proceeding is being conducted through
virtual mode.

2. Upon repeated calls, no one appears on behalf of
the petitioner. However, Learned A.P.P for the State is present.

3. From the record, it transpires that there are 4
counsel on record namely, Deepak Kumar, Adv., Mukund
Kumar, Adv., Dilip Kumar, Adv. And Ravi Shankar Kumar,
Adv., but none of them appeared to argue this case.

4. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection
with Parsa P.S. Case No.97 of 2026 lodged on 25.02.2026, for
the offence punishable under Sections 32, 30(a) and 41(1) of the
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act which is pending before the
court of 3rd Exclusive Special Excise Judge, Saran at Chapra.

5. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against



13 named accused persons including the present petitioner with allegation that there is recovery of total 960 litres of illicit spirit from a four-wheeler, which is subject matter of the present case.

6. The plea has been taken in the bail petition that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It has been further pleaded that the petitioner's name has been falsely implicated in this case. It has been further pleaded that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and petitioner has no relation with the said recovery and with the other accused persons. It has been further pleaded that petitioner is not the owner of the alleged vehicle from which the recovery has been made and petitioner is neither driver nor khalassy of the said vehicle.

7. It has been further pleaded that the petitioner is a respectable social worker and only to ruin his political career, his name has been figured in the present case. It has been further pleaded that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is clean.

8. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner, but fairly submits that one similarly situated co-accused person whose name has come on the confessional statement of the driver, has moved in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 36015 of 2026, whose bail application has



been rejected vide order dated 01.06.2026 by this Hon’ble Court.

9. Upon consideration of the factual matrix and recovery of huge amount of spirit which are used in preparation of wine, has been made and the driver has categorically stated about the entire *modus operandi*, which are corroborated by the recovery of the wine.

10. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Prakashmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

