

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30397 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-145 Year-2025 Thana- Mufassil District- Purnia

Md. Aamir S/O Saiful @ Mohammad Saiful R/O Village - Nagdehi
Maharajpur Manjheli, P.S- Mufassil, District - Purnia

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vivekanand Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-07-2026 Heard Mr. Vivekanand Singh, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Pranav Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 145 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 11.06.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 127(2), 121(1), 121(2), 324(4), 109, 132, 221, 303(2), 351(2), 226(F) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per prosecution case, the petitioner and other co-accused persons are alleged to have abused, snatched the weapons of Police officials and causing injury to them and also tried to disturb conveyance of general public.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case merely on the basis of video footage. Although the petitioner is named in the FIR but from bare perusal of the FIR it appears that there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act against him rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioner. He next submits that similarly situated co-accused persons, namely, Md. Kaushar and Md. Malik @ Md. Malek have been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 09.01.2026 in Cr. Misc. No. 88327 of 2025 and other co-accused persons, namely, Abdul Basir @ Abdul Basid @ Abdul Wasid @ Abdul Baseer, Md. Nurul @ Nurul, Md. Badshah @ Badshah and Aasif @ Aasif Alam have been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 24.11.2025 and 16.12.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 74328 of 2025 and Cr. Misc. No. 79129 of 2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail application that the petitioner is on bail in the said matter.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and similarly situated co-accused persons have been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by this Court/Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purnea in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 145 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.



iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bond in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

