

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31152 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-166 Year-2026 Thana- HAJIPUR SADAR District- Vaishali

Bhutali Rai @ Sanjeet Kumar Rai S/o Late Meghan Rai R/o Village -
Dharhara Paura Madan Singh, P.S - Kajipur, District - Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-05-2026 No one appears for the petitioner. Learned APP for the
State is present.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest in connection with Hajipur Sadar (Kajipur) P.S. Case no.166 of 2026 registered under section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that seeing the police personnel, three accused persons who were unloading liquor from a motorcycle parked outside the house of the petitioner made an attempt to escape but one of



them was caught. The person who was caught disclosed the name of the other two persons who had managed to escape and which included the petitioner herein. 100 litres of illicit liquor was recovered.

4. It is the case of the petitioner that no incriminating article has been recovered from the petitioner's possession who was not caught at the place of occurrence. The petitioner has no concern with the seized liquor nor with the vehicle from which it was allegedly recovered. The only material against the petitioner is the statement of a co-accused made before police and that the alleged recovery has taken place in front of the house of this petitioner. The cause of false implication is the antecedents of the petitioner.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P for the State who submits that the allegation is of recovery of 100 liters of country liquor in front of the house of the petitioner who is accused in as many as four cases from before.

6. Having heard learned APP for the State and having perused the contents of the petition, taking into consideration the allegation in the F.I.R. of recovery of 100 litres of country liquor in front of the house of the petitioner who managed to



escape together with the petitioner having several antecedents under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail and the application is rejected.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

saauravkrsinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

