

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30358 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-592 Year-2025 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

1. Raja Babu @ Rajeev Kumar S/O Chunnu Singh R/O Village - Simri, P.S- Warsalignaj, Distt.- Nawada
2. Golu Singh @ Gaurav Kumar Son of Ajay Singh @ Ajay Kumar Resident of Village- Simri, Police station- Warsaliganj, District- Nawada
3. Ankit Kumar @ Rocky Son of Deepak Singh @ Rajesh Kumar Resident of Village- Jawahar Park, Police Station- Warsaliganj, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vibhuti Ranjan Sonvadra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Lalan Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-05-2026 Heard Mr. Vibhuti Ranjan Sonvadra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Lalan Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Warsaliganj P.S. Case No. 592 of 2025 registered for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 126(2),115(2), 351(2),352,117(2),303(2),109,3(5), of the BNS.

3. As per the allegations made in the FIR, the accused persons named therein, including the petitioners, allegedly assaulted the informant with the common intention to commit his murder, causing injuries on various parts of the



body, including the head.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that no specific allegation has been levelled against the petitioners. The FIR itself discloses that altogether nine accused persons have been named for allegedly assaulting the informant. It is also contended that no final injury report has been brought on record to substantiate the nature of injuries allegedly sustained by the informant.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail and submitted that the informant had sustained injuries also on the head, which is a vital part of the body and as such, the petitioner don't deserve to be released on pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties and upon perusal of the allegations made in the FIR, this Court is of the view that, in the interest of justice, the learned District Court must call for the final injury report of the informant, as well as, the case diary, and verify whether there are any specific allegations against any of the petitioners showing that they had assaulted the informant and whether the



injuries sustained are grievous in nature. **If such materials are found, the impugned order dated 28.03.2026 is not required to be interfered with.** However, in the event the injuries are found to be simple in nature, then, considering the general and omnibus allegations levelled against the petitioners, the learned District Court shall, on the basis of the materials available on record, release the petitioners on pre-arrest bail in connection with Warsaliganj P.S. Case No. 592 of 2025, on such terms and conditions as it may deem fit and proper.

7. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

8. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

