

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30967 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-33 Year-2013 Thana- CHANAN District- Lakhisarai

Ashok Kora @ Bhaglu Kora Son of Mangar Kora Resident of Village
-Hanuman Asthan P.S. -Piri Bazar District- Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anant Kumar 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-07-2026 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Anant Kumar 1, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 03.03.2025, in connection with Chanan P.S. Case No. 33 of 2013, F.I.R. dated 14.06.2013 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 302, 307, 427, 120 of the Indian Penal Code, Section 27 of the Arms Act, Section 3/4 of Explosive Substance Act, Sections 150, 151, 152 of Railway Act and Sections 16/18(B)/20 of the U.A.P. Act, 1967.

3. The F.I.R. has been lodged against 100 unknown extremists who continuously firing in the train and when the train left Jamui they saw that their Jawan were killed and snatched their rifle and injured the Guard also.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. Initially, the petitioner was not named in the F.I.R. and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused person, namely, Birendra Kora and except the aforesaid, nothing cogent material has come during investigation to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. He further submits that co-accused person, namely, Gopal Yadav has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 06.04.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 12462 of 2018, another co-accused person, namely, Dhanraj Kora @ Fulo Kora has been granted regular bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 31.01.2020 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 75833 of 2019, another co-accused person, namely, Mithu Koda has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 18.09.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 34530 of 2023, another co-accused person, namely, Lochan Manjhi has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 21.08.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 52373 of 2023 respectively. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 03.03.2025.



5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case of similar nature..

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused person and nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner as well as custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Railway Judicial Magistrate, Kiul (Lakhisarai) in connection with Chanan P.S. Case No. 33 of 2013, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.



(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

