

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29964 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-139 Year-2025 Thana- KHUTAUNA District- Madhubani

Raushan Kumar S/o Raj Kumar Prasad @ Raj Kumar Sah R/o Village-
Khutauna, PS- Khutauna, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Prakash

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Awadhesh Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 190, 191(2), 191(3), 109(1), 132, 121(1), 121(2), 352,
351(2), 274 and 275 of BNS and Section 30(a) of the Bihar
Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 930 ml of liquor from a place near newly
constructed house of Jai Prakash Bharti.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner was not arrested from the spot nor the liquor was
recovered from his house but then it is alleged that during



investigation, the accused persons along with 10-15 unknown accused attacked the police force and Shivam assaulted the informant by lathi and danda and Shiv Kumar tried to strangle him and also assaulted other police officials and damaged the police vehicles. It is further submitted that as far as petitioner is concerned, the allegation against him is general and omnibus in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Khutauna P.S. Case No. 139 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of



seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

