

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16207 of 2015

Dr. Himanshu Roy Son of Late Brajdeo Prasad Roy, resident of V-19,
Vidyapuri, Kankarbagh, P.S.- Patrakar Nagar, Town and District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The District Collector, Patna.
3. The Land Asquisition Officer, Patna. null null
4. The Managing Director, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority
BIADA, Patna.
5. The Circle Officer, Bihta, District- Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Ajay Behari Sinha, GA-8
For the BIADA	:	Mr. Bindhyachal Singh, Sr. Advocate Mr. Parth Gaurav, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

5 02-02-2026 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though the

State as also learned counsel for the Bihar Industrial Area

Development Authority (BIADA) are present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“for issuance of a writ in the nature of
mandamus or such other writ/order/direction
restraining the respondents from acquiring the land
owned by the petitioner by invoking urgency clause
under Section 17 of the land Acquisition Act, 1894
(The Act) and for issuance of a writ in the nature of*



Certiorari or such other writ/order/direction for of quashing of Notification dated 13.12.2007 issued under Section 4 of the Act and the Notification dated 24.12.2007 issued under Section 6 of the Act whereby the procedure prescribed under Section 5A of the Act has been dispensed with on the alleged ground of urgency whereas possession has not been taken till date and after a lapse of more than 7 years now the possession is sought to be taken.”

3. A perusal of the order dated 24.08.2023 shows that on the said date also, there was no appearance.

4. In that background, the writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

