

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34110 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-111 Year-2025 Thana- MAHUAWA District- East Champaran

Arman Mian @ Arman Miya Son of Reyajul Mian, Resident of Village -Sirsia
Kalan, PS -Adapur, District -East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar No. III, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nand Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANSUL

ORAL ORDER

2 20-05-2026 The matter was heard *via* video conferencing
mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
N.D.P.S. Case No. 10 of 2026, arising out of Mahuawa P.S.
Case No. 111 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 8, 20(b)(ii)(c), 23(c) and 29 of the N.D.P.S. Act.

4. As per prosecution case, during the course of
vehicle checking a vehicle was signaled to stop but the
occupants of the vehicle on seeing the Police tried to flee who
were then apprehended by the Police. A search was conducted
and from the possession of the petitioner 4.6 kg of *charas* was
recovered which was kept in a black bag.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The recovery is manufactured and planted. The chargesheet has been submitted on 31.12.2025 without FSL report and as such the chargesheet is incomplete in the eye of law and the matter is pending for consideration before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he is in custody since 01.09.2025.

6. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that 4.6 kg of *charas* has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner which is greater than the commercial quantity.

7. Considering the nature of offence and the quantity of recovered contraband which is commercial, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

9. Learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously as delay in trial may entitle the petitioner for bail.



10. The petitioner may renew his prayer for bail
after six months if the trial is not concluded.

(Ansul, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

