

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31172 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-407 Year-2024 Thana- BAISI District- Purnia

Biswajeet Debnath @ Vishwajit Debanth S/O Shankar Debnath Resident Of
Dalkola, P.s.- Dalkola, District- Uttar Dinajpur, State- West Bengal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhola Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Nirmala Kumari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 24-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Special
(NDPS Act) Case No. 105 of 2025 arising out of Baisi P.S. Case
No. 407 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 8(c)
& 21(c) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act,
1985 and Sections 111 & 317(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023.

3. This is the third attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide orders



dated 22.04.2025 and 01.12.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 25004 of 2025 and Cr. Misc. No. 71122 of 2025, respectively with liberty to renew prayer for bail after four months in the last rejection order.

4. In compliance of the order dated 08.05.2026, a report dated 01.06.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined in this case. It is further reported that trial is likely to be concluded within a period of three months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 16.12.2024 without any rhymes or reason, having no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is on the verge of its conclusion.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the



petitioner is again *rejected* with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

