

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29181 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-315 Year-2024 Thana- PARBATTa District- Khagaria

=====

Brajesh Yadav S/O Chhabu Yadav R/O Village- Salarpur, P.S- Parbatta, Distt.-
Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Nidhi Suman, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Rina Sinha, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 03-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Parbatta P.S. Case No. 315 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Sections 64(2)(1), 126(2), 351(2), 352, 303(2) & 3(5) of
the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide order
dated 30.07.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 26464 of 2025, taking
into account the nature of accusation and the gravity of the
offence.

4. In compliance of the order dated 01.05.2026, a



report dated 19.05.2026, with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that out of seven charge sheet witnesses in this case, no witness is examined.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 12.09.2024, without any rhymes or reason, having one criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again *rejected* with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of four months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of four months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

9. The District Magistrate, Khagaria and the



Superintendent of Police, Khagaria are also directed to take necessary steps to produce the witnesses on the date fixed in the Trial Court so that the trial could be concluded within the stipulated period.

10. Let this order be communicated to the District Magistrate, Khagaria and the Superintendent of Police, Khagaria.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

