

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29063 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-140 Year-2025 Thana- LADANIA District- Madhubani

Hari Shankar Yadav @ Hari Shankar Kumar Yadav @ Hari Shankar Kumar
S/o Bijay Yadav Resident of Village - Chiknautwa, P.S. - Ladaniya, Dist. -
Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bhavesh Kumar Sah, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Madhuri Lata, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 06-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 140(1) and
3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the
petitioner along with others has kidnapped the grandson of the
informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has
committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this
case. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
during course of investigation, the victim was recovered and he



has given his statement under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. wherein he has stated that one of his friends has given information that Rakesh Yadav and the petitioner were chatting on Instagram regarding his kidnapping. On 04.05.2025, three persons kidnapped him but he has not named those persons. In last part of his statement, he has stated that this petitioner was calling the accused persons and was directing them to assault with blade on his face. But no assault was made in view of the above direction made by the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that only material against the petitioner is that he has directed the kidnappers to assault with blade on the face of the victim. It has further been submitted that if at all this petitioner has directed the kidnappers on mobile, how this fact may come into the knowledge of the victim because the call was not made to him. As per his statement, the call was made to the kidnappers. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 29.01.2026.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named



petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Madhubani in connection with Ladaniya P.S. Case No. 140 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

