

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29253 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-9 Year-2025 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Supaul

Khurshid Sheikh S/O Md Siraj Shaikh @ Mohammad Seraj @ Md Seraj
Resident of Village-Chamgarh Bhatta Tola, Ward No-04, PS-Murliganj,
District-Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sharda Nand Mishra, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Prasad Nat, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 15-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Supaul Cyber P.S. Case No. 09 of 2025 dated 26.06.2025 registered for the offence punishable under Section/s 77, 78, 294 of the B.N.S. and Section 66(E), 67, 67(A) of the I.T. Act, 2000.

3. As per the prosecution case, the accusation against the petitioner is of sending private videos of the victim/informant to the mobile of other persons including the husband of the informant with intention to malign the image of the victim.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Counsel for the petitioner, by referring to the



allegation made in the F.I.R., submits that the entire allegation is against the co-accused Saddam Sheikh and there is nothing specific against the present petitioner. It is next submitted that the incident is alleged to have taken place on 26.05.2025 while the F.I.R. has been instituted on 26.06.2025 i.e. after one month of the said incident. It is further submitted that the allegation, which has been referred in the F.I.R., all such incident is said to have taken place at Mumbai and the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged incident. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner is a person of clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the fact that there is nothing specific against the petitioner, the F.I.R. having been registered after inordinate delay of one month from the date of alleged occurrence and, as also, the petitioner having clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, be released on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Supaul



in connection with Supaul Cyber P.S. Case No. 09 of 2025,
subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of
the B.N.S.S. as well as the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family
member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide
official document / personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date
before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive
dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail
bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or
promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences,
failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for
cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any
criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty
to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

