

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30467 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-381 Year-2025 Thana- SAHPUR District- Patna

Aditya Bhargav @ Ashish Kumar S/O Jayant Kumar @ Jayant Kumar
Sharma R/O Village No. 12, Khara Kuan, Gali Saguna, P.S- Danapur, Distt.-
Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Khushi Awadh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Mritunjay Kumar Nirala, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ravi Kant Kumar, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 16-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State as also learned counsel for the informant. Perused
the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T.
No. 177 of 2026, arising out of Shahpur P.S. Case No. 381 of
2025 instituted for the offence under Sections 115(2), 126(2),
109, 317(5) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the petitioner,
along with an unidentified associate, allegedly fired at the
informant while he was on his way to Danapur Court, causing a
gunshot injury. The prosecution alleges that the attack was
motivated by previous political rivalry and enmity arising out of



the 2022 local body elections.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 27.09.2025. Petitioner bears four (4) criminal antecedents, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. From perusal of the FIR, it would reveal that it is the petitioner who has fired on the informant, due to which he sustained gunshot injury. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case, whereafter charge is also framed in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is submitted that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of firing on the informant which is corroborated by the injury report wherein injuries are found to be caused by gunshot. It is next submitted by learned counsel for the informant that bail of other co-accused has been rejected by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.05.2026, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 29189 of 2026.



7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being direct allegation of firing against the petitioner, which is corroborated by the injury report, this Court, at this stage, is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby *rejected*.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

9. However, petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below if the trial is not concluded within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

