

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29982 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-113 Year-2026 Thana- AURANGABAD TOWN District-
Aurangabad

=====

Dev Verma S/o Arun Verma @ Arun Kumar Verma @ Arun Sharma R/o vill-
Adarsh Bihar Colony, P.S.- Bihiya, Distt.- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Kahkashan Alam, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Kanhaiya Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Ajeet Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 331(4), and 305 of B.N.S. and later on Sections 317(5) and 3(5) of B.N.S. were added.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short is that some unknown miscreants have committed theft in the house of the informant and they have taken away the jewellery and cash of Rs. 1,30,000/-.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the



petitioner has submitted that the F.I.R. was lodged against unknown miscreants. During course of investigation, co-accused Gandhi Prasad has given his confessional statement and he has named this petitioner. The petitioner has also given his confessional statement and has stated that he has purchased the stolen property from one Ramu Kumar and Suvir Porail at low price. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is the owner of a jewellery shop. The only allegation against the petitioner is that he has purchased the stolen property. It has further been submitted that no T.I.P. of the stolen articles was conducted during investigation. It has further been submitted that at most the allegation against the petitioner is that of receiving the stolen property which is triable by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 27.02.2026.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named



petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with
Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No. 113 of 2026 on furnishing bail
bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like
amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-II,
Aurangabad.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

