

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29039 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-18 Year-2026 Thana- VISHNUPAD District- Gaya

=====

Ranjan Yadav S/o Late Ramji Yadav @ Natai Yadav Resident of Mohalla -
Khatkachak, PS - Vishnupad, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ranjeet Choubey, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Madhura Nand Jha, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sujit Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf

of the informant.

2. In the present case, the petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Vishnupad P.S. Case No. 18 of 2026 registered for the offences under Sections 191(3), 191(2), 190, 352, 351(2), 351(3), 324(5), 308(3), 306(4), 126(2), 109(1), 307, 111, 61(2) of BNS and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, on a dispute over right to way, the petitioner and other co-accused persons came and abused the informant. They also resorted to firing and made 8 to



10 rounds of firing. The petitioner threatened that they would occupy the passage. Further, allegation against the petitioner and other co-accused persons is that they attacked one on the resort and caused damaged in lakh. They also looted Rs.50,000/- from the shop of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is named at the instance of his enemies. There is no specific allegation of any overt act against the petitioner and the allegations are general and omnibus. The counter version of the present case is Vishnupad P.S. Case No. 20 of 2026 which has been instituted by the wife of the petitioner against the informant and others. Learned counsel further submits that for the same piece of land earlier the same informant has instituted Vishnupad P.S. Case No. 227 of 2025 for the offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 303(2), 308(2), 324(4)(5), 3(5) of B.N.S. against the petitioner and others. Learned counsel further submits that it is a case of land dispute. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner is having antecedent of 12 cases but he has been exonerated in one such case.

5. Learned APP as well as learned counsel appearing



on behalf of the informant vehemently oppose the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant submits that the petitioner and other co-accused persons opened fire in order to threaten the informant and his family members. Co-accused threatened the cousin of the informant for murder. Learned counsel for the informant further submits that the petitioner is a dreaded criminal of the area and is habitual offender and altogether 12 cases have been instituted against him for the offences under the Arms Act and 302 IPC.

6. Having regard to the long criminal history of the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge him on anticipatory bail and hence, his prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

DKS/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

