

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29028 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2003 Thana- MUSRIGHRARI District- Samastipur

Baijnath Rawat @ Baijhnath Raut S/o Late Ram Jatan Raut R/o Village-
Rampur keshopatti, Ward No 7, Bejhadih Rupauli, P.S.- Samastipur Mufassil,
Dist- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Praveen, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 01-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Session Trial Case No. 800 of 2025 arising out of Mushrigharari
P.S. Case No. 36 of 2003 instituted for the offence under
Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the
Arms Act. Earlier vide order dated 03.09.2025, passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 39919 of 2025, regular bail of the petitioner was
rejected by this Court with a liberty to renew the prayer after six
months if the trial is not concluded.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
present one is the second attempt for grant of regular bail to the
petitioner. It is mainly submitted that charge in this case is



framed and till date, no witnesses have been examined. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 04.04.2025, having one criminal antecedent. Learned counsel further submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future. Learned counsel goes on to submit that the continued incarceration of the petitioner without conclusion of trial amounts to a gross violation of the fundamental right guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, which is reiterated by Hon'ble Apex Court in plethora of judgments.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner and taking into account the fact that continued detention of the petitioner would serve no purpose other than punitive pretrial incarceration, which is antithetical to the spirit of Article 21 of the Constitution of India, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Session Trial Case No. 800 of 2025 arising out of Mushrigharari P.S. Case No. 36 of 2003, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

