

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4742 of 2014

=====

Maheshwar Singh @ Maheshwar Prasad Singh Son Of Late Raj Keshi Singh
Resident Of Village - Kataru, Police Station - Paroo, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Joint Director, Consolidation, Muzaffarpur
3. The Deputy Director, Consolidation, Sitamarhi Null Null
4. The Deputy Director, Consolidation, Muzaffarpur
5. The Consolidation Officer, Paroo, District - Muzaffarpur
6. Bhupendra Kumar Tiwari S/O Late Gaurishankar Tiwary Resident Of Village - Bishunpur Jivnarain, P.O. Chhap, Police Station - Paroo, District - Muzaffarpur
7. Bharat Singh Son Of Late Nirshu Singh
8. Rishikesh Singh
9. Rakesh Singh Both Sons Of Jay Prakash Singh
10. Shashi Bhushan Singh
11. Chandrashekhar Singh @ Munna Singh Both Sons Of Late Nand Kumar Singh
12. Ravindra Singh Son Of Raj Keshri Singh All Residents Of Village - Kataru, P.O. Chhap, Police Station - Paroo, District - Muzaffarpur
14. Smt. Sona Devi W/O Ram Nath Tiwari All Residents Of Village - Bishunpur Jivnarain, P.O. Chhap, Police Station - Paroo, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suraj Narayan Yadav, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sanjeet Kumar Singh, AC to AAG-06

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

5 27-02-2026 Heard Mr. Suraj Narayan Yadav, learned counsel for

the petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the



following relief/s:

(i) for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari to quash the Order dated 07.07.2010 passed by the Joint Director, Consolidation, Muzaffarpur in Revision Case no. 823/1991 by which he set aside the Order dated 30.09.1991 passed in Appeal no. 12/1989 of the Deputy Director of Consolidation, Sitamarhi and further for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondents not to interfere with the map and khatiyani of the revision survey stands in the name of the petitioner as well as respondent no. 7 to 12 and their right, title and possession over the land in dispute.

3. On 27.01.2018, the coordinate bench recorded that the sole petitioner is no more and as such allowed the learned counsel to bring on record the Vakalatnama of the heirs. It has not been done and the same prayer is made.

4. However, after some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that heirs be granted liberty to approach



afresh if he/she/they so want.

5. Granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed
of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

