

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10610 of 2014

=====

Upendra Kumar Singh S/o Late Ram Nagina Singh Resident of Village
Jahanabad, P.S. Kudra, District Kaimur Bhabhua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Collector, Kaimur Bhabhua.
3. The Competent-cum-District Land Acquisition Officer, Kaimur Bhabhua.
4. The Circle Officer, Kudra.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Chandra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Md. Raisul Haque, SC-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 02-02-2026 Heard Mr. Krishna Chandra, learned counsel for the

petitioner as also learned State counsel.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) for issuance of an appropriate
Writ/Writs, direction/directions in the nature of
Mandamus or Writs, order or orders in the
nature of Mandamus directing the concerned
respondents to complete the formalities of
acquisition pertaining to the excessive land of
two (2) decimal acquired beyond the notification
issued under section 4 read with section 17(4) of*



the Land Acquisition Act for construction of Kudra Railway Over Bridge, which is apparent from the measurement done by the committee constituted by the District Administration as contained in Memo No. 713 dated 10.10.2013 and further been pleased to direct the authorities to ensure payment of entire land in question as per the present market rate applicable as on date which is provided in the new act named "The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013",

In alternative the respondents may be directed vacant to return back the possession of the land in question to the petitioner which the authorities have taken possession without following the due process of law including by quantifying the damages caused to the petitioner during the period under which the land in question remained under the unlawful possession of the district authorities.

(ii) for any other relief/reliefs which



the Hon'ble Court may grant in general interest checking these kinds of harassment to the petitioners by the Government Officials that may be deemed appropriate and necessary in this case for protecting the interest and rights of the petitioners by ensuring the benefits flowing from the Act.”

3. It has been informed by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the grievance stands redressed and as such, he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition as also Interlocutory Application if any, stand disposed of as become infructuous.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

