IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.964 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010410312026

==========

Pawan Kumar Singh C/o-Meghnath Singh Resident of Nawab Kothi, Shekhwat, Hussain Lane Dr Rajendra Prasad Road Khalifabag Jagdispur PS -Kotwali, Bhagalpur, Bihar

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Additional Chief Secretary, Home Dept. Govt. of Bihar Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur, Bihar
3. The Inspector General of Police, Bhagalpur, bihar
4. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Bhagalpur, Bihar
5. The Superintendent of Police, Bhagalpur, Bihar
6. The Officer-in-charge, Kotwali Railway Station, Bhagalpur, Patna bihar
7. Aazaz Hussian Jaidi Son of Late Irshad Hussian Jaidi Resident of village - Shekhawat Hussain Lane, Gurudwara Road, Khaliganj, Ps- Kotwali, Dist- Bhagalpur
8. Mohammad Sajjad Hussian Jaidi son of Late Irshad Hussian Jaidi Resident of village - Shekhawat Hussain Lane, Gurudwara Road, Khaliganj, Ps- Kotwali, Dist- Bhagalpur

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sachin Kumar, Advocate
Ms. Kumari Samridhi Pandey, Advocate
Mr. Jaidev Raj, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Mr. Binay Kumar, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

2 29-10-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been filed seeking direction to the respondent first set to take action against the local miscreants who are respondents second set herein and had allegedly been causing threat to life and property of the

WEB COPY

petitioner and have allegedly encroached and occupied the property of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has approached the state authorities to remove the encroachment over his property but they did take any step.

4. Counter-affidavit has been filed by the State-respondents and it has been specifically averred that allegations made against the petitioner regarding his claim over the land or existence of any hotel/restaurant is false.

5. Since the disputed questions are involved in the present case, therefore, the present writ petition could not be entertained.

6. However, the state respondents are directed to take into consideration the representation of the petitioner dated 14.03.2026, annexed at Annexure-P3 and take action upon it, if the petitioner produces relevant documents in support of his contention.

7. In terms of the aforesaid contention, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Vikash/-

U		T	

WEB COPY