

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34534 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-105 Year-2015 Thana- MADHAURAH District- Saran

Deepak Prasad Yadav Son of Dev Nandan Ray Vill -Tejpurva Ps- Marhowrah
Distt- Saran At Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. State Bank Of India through Branch Manager, Marhorrah, Saran at Chapra
3. Cashier, State Bank Of India, Marhorrah, Saran at Chapra

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Anita Kumari, APP
For O.P. No. 2 & 3	:	Mr. Apurv Harsh, Adv
	:	Ms. Jaya Singh, Adv
	:	Mr. Raghu Raj Pratap, Adv
	:	Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

7 06-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2.The accused/petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and apprehending his arrest in connection with Marhowrah P.S. Case No. 105 of 2015 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 409, 420, 379 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, as lodged by the informant is that he appointed the petitioner in his office to open accounts and maintain registers etc. The informant had kept his cheque book in the office through which total amount of Rs. 66,000/- has been withdrawn by petitioner through cheque of the informant and panchayati for the same has been done in which petitioner



accepted the she withdrew Rs. 10,000/- from the account of the informant and Rs. 56,000/- has been withdrawn by Pramod Kumar and Deepak Kumar. It is further alleged that 20 cheques has been stolen by the petitioner in collusion with bank staff.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that main thrust of allegation is available against co-accused Geeta Kumari who was already granted bail by learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court through Cr. Misc. No. 6295 of 2016 dated 26.02.2016, in view of the fact that the matter traveled up to the National Human Rights Commission, in pursuance to which the petitioner and the Bank officials have given a report and unanimously agreed that they do not have any grievance with each other. It is pointed out that petitioner was staff of then co-accused Geeta Kumari.

5 Learned APP duly assisted by learned counsel for the O.P. No. 2 & 3 could not disputed aforesaid factual submission.

6. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact as matter appears settled between banks and the parties, coupled with the fact as main co-accused Geeta Kumari has already granted bail by learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, accordingly petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four



weeks, is directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Saran at Chapra/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Marhowrah P.S. Case No. 105 of 2015, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C/ Section 482(2) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

S.Tripathi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

