

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28437 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-182 Year-2023 Thana- NADI P.S. District- Patna

Savita Devi W/o Tekanand Rai R/o Village -Jethuli, P.S. - Nadi, Distt. Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                            |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Ravi Ranjan, Advocate  |
|                          | : | Mr. Abhishek Rai, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, APP   |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      17-06-2026                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in connection with Nadi P.S. Case No. 182 of 2023 F.I.R dated 29.05.2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 353, 337, 225, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, on 29.05.2023, a police team led by the informant went to Jethuli to execute pending warrants and arrested Parmanand Rai. Thereafter, his family members and others allegedly pelted bricks at the police personnel, facilitated the escape of the arrested accused, and obstructed the police in discharge of their official duties. During the incident, Dilip Rai was apprehended and the present FIR



was instituted on the basis of the said allegations

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is a lady and there is general and omnibus allegation against her. He further submits that there is no material available on record to show the involvement of the petitioner in getting the co-accused, namely, Parmanand Rai, freed from the custody of the police. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and taking into account that the petitioner is a lady and has no criminal antecedent and has no role attributed, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M.F.C., Patna City, Patna in connection with Nadi



P.S. Case No.182 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

**(Ajit Kumar, J)**

suryadev/rajan

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

