

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1210 Year-2016 Thana- BEGUSARAI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- Opposite Party/s

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhirendra Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Matloob Rab, APP
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv
Mr. Ashok Kumar, Adv

3 02-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the OP No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498A of the IPC.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case but then the said case was also instituted by the instant complainant, i.e., OP No. 2, who is his wife. It is next submitted that petitioner being husband has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is



further submitted that during pendency of the instant case, the OP No. 2 herein filed Bachhwara PS Case No. 67 of 2018 under Sections 341, 323, 379, 498A, 494, 506 and 34 of the IPC read with Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act. It is also submitted that in Bachhwara PS Case No. 67 of 2018, the petitioner had moved before the court of learned Sessions Judge, Begusarai seeking anticipatory bail and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 25-4-2019. It is next submitted that from perusal of the order dated 25-4-2019 annexed as Annexure-1 to the anticipatory bail application, it would manifest that the same records – petitioner is husband of the informant and one time settlement between the parties have been accorded on payment of certain amount and that amount has been paid. It is thus submitted that in the said case, one time settlement was arrived at and the same was paid. Learned counsel also submits that the instant complaint case was instituted prior to the aforesaid Bachhwara PS Case No. 67 of 2018. It is next submitted that once OP No. 2 in Bachhwara PS Case No. 67 of 2018 agreed for a one time settlement, whether it would be prudent for the court to send the petitioner to jail based on a complaint which was instituted prior to Bachhwara PS Case No. 67 of 2018.



4. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the OP NO. 2 opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner, but then are not in a position to rebut the submission made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that based on order dated 25-4-2019 in ABA No. 819 of 2019 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Begusarai, the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail on the ground that one time settlement was arrived at in between the parties.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Complaint Case No. 1210C of 2016 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

