

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30790 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-22 Year-2026 Thana- KHUDAGANJ District- Nalanda

Ranjan Kumar S/O Mahesh Prasad Village- Bardaha Tola Narayanpur, P.S.-
Khudaganj, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Asha Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 06-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Khudaganj P.S. Case No. 22 of 2026 for the offence punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the BNS lodged on 25.01.2026 by the informant.

3. As per the prosecution case, the allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other accused person, namely, Akshay Kumar forcefully trespassed the house of the informant in an inebriated condition and the said Akshay Kumar is alleged to have caught hold of the hand of the informant and when her husband, Manoj Kumar came for her rescue, he was assaulted by means of *Dabia* (a sharp edged iron weapon) by the co-accused Akshay Kumar due to which he received



grievous injuries and was admitted to the CHC Islampur Hospital. It has further been alleged that the informant was also deprived of her gold necklace by accused persons. Accordingly, the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. From the First Information Report itself, it is evident that this petitioner was having knife in his hand with which he is alleged to have inflicted injury on the forehead of the informant which is found to be simple in nature. It has further been submitted that the grievous injury which is said to have been caused to husband of the petitioner (Manoj Kumar) is against co-accused, Akshay Kumar. Petitioner has got clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that since the grievous injury has been caused to the husband of the informant, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the allegation of overt-act as against this petitioner is in respect of injury caused to the informant and the injury sustained by her is simple in nature, the grievous injury which is said to have been caused to husband of the petitioner (Manoj Kumar) is against co-accused, Akshay Kumar,



and this petitioner has got clean antecedent, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ***Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Hilsa, Nalanda*** in connection with aforesaid PS Case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist



*from committing any criminal offence again,
failing which the State shall be at liberty to
take steps for cancellation of his/their bail
bonds.*

(Ajit Kumar, J)

perwez

U		T	
---	--	---	--

