

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29707 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-64 Year-2025 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Katihar

=====

Sachin Kumar Son of Ram Chandra Ram @ Ramchandrar Ram Resident of
Village - Lakhnour, P.S.- Rupouli, District - Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajit Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bharat Lal, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-05-2026 Heard Mr.Ajit Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr.Bharat Lal, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
07.12.2025 in connection with Cyber P.S. Case No. 64 of 2025,
F.I.R. dated 06.12.2025 registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 303(2), 318(4), 319(2) of B.N.S. and Section 66
(C), 66(D) of the I.T. Act.

3. The FIR of the occurrence of theft is against
unknown.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he is not named in the FIR.
The name of the petitioner has been transpired during
investigation but fairly submits that nothing has come during



investigation against the petitioner to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. It appears from the F.I.R. itself that the date of occurrence as alleged in the FIR is 23.09.2025 but the present FIR has been instituted on 06.12.2025 after delay of two and half months. Although the petitioner has confessed his guilt before the police in the present occurrence but the confessional statement of the co-accused before the police is no evidentiary value in the eye of law and the police, after investigation, submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 07.12.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent and he is not named in the FIR, the name of the petitioner has been transpired during investigation, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate- 1st Class, Katihar, in connection with Cyber P.S. Case No. 64 of 2025, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court



and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

