

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28927 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-208 Year-2025 Thana- MOTIPUR District- Muzaffarpur

Raj Kumar Sah @ Munna Sah Son of Dev Narayan Sah @ Devanand Sah @
Let Devanand Sah Resident of village - Sardha Jahangirpur, P.S.- Motipur,
District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ugresh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard Mr. Ugresh Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
20.11.2025 in connection with Motipur P.S. Case No. 208 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 23.06.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 85, 80 and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant
alleged that the petitioner along with other co-accused persons
conspired and killed his daughter, namely, Anjali Kumari (now,
deceased) due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the



present case merely on the ground that he is father-in-law of the deceased. Although the petitioner is named in the FIR but from bare perusal of the FIR it appears that there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act of demand of dowry attributed against him rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioner. Infact the deceased has committed suicide herself and her husband, namely, Sanoj Kumar, who happens to be the son of the petitioner has been granted the privilege of bail by this Court vide order dated 22.01.2026 in Cr. Misc. No. 80983 of 2023. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet. The petitioner is in custody since 20.11.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner had participated in the present crime in question and apart from that petitioner carries two criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail application that the petitioner is on bail in the said matters.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.D.J-5, Muzaffarpur in connection with Motipur P.S. Case No. 208 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

