

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28713 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-435 Year-2023 Thana- MANER District- Patna

=====

Dhananjay Kumar Son of Ram Babu Ray @ Rambabu Rai R/o Village - Hira
Tola, Sherpur, P.S.- Maner, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ghanshyam Tiwary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajiv Nayan, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 03-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T.
No. 806 of 2024 arising out of Maner P.S. Case No. 435 of 2023
instituted for the offences under Sections 302, 120(B)/34 of the
Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide order
dated 10.01.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 81713 of 2024.
Again, vide order dated 26.09.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No.
60360 of 2025, regular bail of the petitioner was again rejected
by this Court, considering the specific allegation against the



petitioner, which was serious in nature, with a liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months, if trial is not concluded.

4. In compliance of the order dated 01.05.2026, a report dated 26.05.2026, with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that charge in this case is framed. It is further reported that trial is expected to be completed within a year.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 05.09.2023 without any rhymes or reason, having eight (8) criminal antecedents. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again ***rejected*** with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of three months, as



stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer
before the court below.

Raj Kishore/-

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

