

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30779 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4 Year-2026 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Saharsa

=====

Ankit Kumar @ Ankit Kumar Jha Son of Prem Mohan Jha Resident of
Village - Dibra, Brahman Toli, P.S.- Nauhatta, District - Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satyam Anand, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Veerendra Narayan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-05-2026 Heard Mr.Satyam Anand, learned counsel for the

petitioner as well as Mr.Kumar Veerendra Narayan, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
30.01.2026 in connection with Saharsa (Mahila) P.S. Case No.
04 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 29.01.2026 for the offences punishable
under Sections 64(1), 62 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita
corresponding to Sections 376(1), 511, 34 respectively of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, it is alleged that
some unknown persons tried to commit rape upon the informant
by luring when she went for her medical check-up in hospital.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. He



next submits that due to some ulterior motive, the petitioner has been made accused in the present case. He next submits that medical examination of the victim was done only for the purpose of age determination and the victim refused for any internal examination. Further submits that co-accused namely Krishna Kumar has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 04.05.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 29483 of 2026. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 30.01.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one but fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in the said case, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and co-accused person has been granted bail by this Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saharsa in connection with Saharsa (Mahila) P.S. Case No. 04 of 2026, subject to the following



conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

