

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34313 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-888 Year-2025 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

1. Ramuchit Sah S/o Durga Sah R/o Village - Shankarpur, P.S. - Mahua, District - Vaishali.
2. Jaikaliya Devi @ Jaikal Devi W/o Ramuchit Sah R/o Village - Shankarpur, P.S. - Mahua, District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, Adv.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      23-07-2026                      Heard the parties.

2.The petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and apprehending their arrest in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 888 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80, 238, 3(5) of BNS.

3. As per FIR, petitioners alleged to commit mental and physical cruelty upon complainant/ OP No. 2 due to non fulfillment of demand of dowry as raised for cash of Rs. 1,50,000/- and one bullet motorcycle.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that both petitioners are in-laws and



living separately from deceased and her husband, having otherwise no connection with their daily and domestic affairs. In this connection learned counsel drawn attention of this Court towards para no. 16 of the case diary, where during supervision/ investigation, it surfaced that petitioners under oral partition are living separately with deceased and her husband much prior to this occurrence. It is submitted that the eye witness of the dead body, who are the family members like sister, father and informant, nowhere suggested that the deceased was assaulted brutally before the occurrence as no mark of violence was noticed upon body of the deceased except some marks on neck, whereas the other witnesses during investigation stated that death was caused due to administering poison. It is submitted that the thrust of allegation is available against husband of the deceased, who is in custody. Petitioners claimed clean antecedent.

5.Learned APP opposes the prayer of bail.

6. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact as petitioners are in-laws and prima-facie



living separately from deceased and her husband, having otherwise no connection with their daily and domestic affairs, accordingly both above-named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks, are directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM IV, Vaishali at Hajipur /concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 888 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

**(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)**

Sudha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

