

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28617 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-271 Year-2025 Thana- BHAGWANPUR HAT District- Siwan

1. Ankit Kumar @ Ankit Kumar Ram S/o Ashok Ram through his natural guardian is Ashok Ram R/o Village- Chorauli, P.S.- Bhagwanpur Hat, District- Siwan
2. Ankur Kumar @ Ankur Kumar Ram @ Anku Kumar Ram S/o Ashok Ram R/o Village- Chorauli, P.S.- Bhagwanpur Hat, District- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-05-2026 Heard Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bhagwanpur Hat P.S. Case No. 271 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 16.06.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S. and subsequently Section 103(1) of the B.N.S. was added.

3. Allegation against the petitioners is that they along with other co-accused persons have assaulted the husband and son of the informant with lathi and danda and during course of



treatment son of the informant died.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have clean antecedents and they have been falsely implicated in the present case. Although the petitioners are named in the F.I.R. but from perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that the F.I.R. has been instituted against 13 named accused persons including these petitioners and 4-5 unknown persons and as per allegation in the F.I.R. that all the persons as stated in the F.I.R. they are alleged to have assaulted the husband and son of the informant with lathin and danda and during course of treatment, son of the informant died. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that it appears from the F.I.R. itself that there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act attributed against these petitioners rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioners and for the same set of allegation co-accused persons, namely, Rajmati Devi and others have been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 10.12.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 81117 of 2025, another co-accused persons, namely, Ashok Ram and Dhanesh Ram have been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order



dated 15.01.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 88432 of 2025, another co-accused persons, namely, Babulal Ram and Raj Kumar have been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 06.02.2026.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 4320 of 2026 respectively.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioners having clean antecedents and there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt attributed against these petitioners rather there is general and omnibus allegations against the petitioners as well as similarly situated co-accused persons have been granted the privilege of bail by this Court or by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Siwan in connection with Bhagwanpur Hat P.S. Case No. 271 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of



Criminal Procedure/ Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions :-

(1) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bonds shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

Ibrar//-

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

