

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27980 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-65 Year-2026 Thana- BHAGWANPUR District- Kaimur
(Bhabua)

=====

Daroga Ram Son of Late Doma Ram Resident of village Umapur, P.S.-
Bhagwanpur, District - Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajani Kant Pandey, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 27-04-2026 Heard Mr. Rajani Kant Pandey, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, learned APP for the

State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
24.03.2026, in connection with Bhagwanpur P.S. Case No. 65 of
2026, F.I.R. dated 18.03.2026 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition &
Excise (Amendment) Act- 2022.

3. Recovery is of 17.50 litres of *country made Mahua*
liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the
present case. He further submits that it appears from the F.I.R.



as well as seizure list that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather recovery has been made from the place of occurrence and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of disclosure made by local choukidar and except the aforesaid, nothing has come during investigation to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence and altogether 17.50 litres of country made Mahua liquor was recovered from the place of occurrence. He further submits that the petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor and the petitioner is in custody since 24.03.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case of similar nature other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matter.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge, Excise-II, Kaimur at Bhabhua in connection with Bhagwanpur P.S. Case No. 65 of 2026, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

Ibrar//-

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

