

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28585 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-228 Year-2025 Thana- PAROO District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Dharamvir Kumar Son of Ram Eshwar Das Resident of Village -Keshopur
Babhangaw, P.S.- Paroo, District -Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinay Mistry, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Mohammad Sufyan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Paroo P.S. Case No. 228 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 309(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25(1-b)a, 26 & 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has been rejected vide order dated 27.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 57744 of 2025, which reads as under:

“Heard the learned counsel for the



petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Paroo P.S. Case No. 228 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 309(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25(1-b)a, 26 & 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on being told by one Raja Kumar, the informant proceeded with his Bolero vehicle to rent out the same and reached at Jafarpur where two unknown persons boarded the vehicle and one unknown person boarded the vehicle in Kharauni Village but after covering some distance, one of them pointed a pistol at the informant and snatched away his vehicle along with his mobile.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. Petitioner is in custody since 04.05.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail by submitting that this petitioner has been apprehended by the Police and the looted vehicle has been recovered from the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is hereby dismissed.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the charges have been framed in the trial.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence, the fact that the trial has started and no fresh ground for grant of bail is made out, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

8. It is, however, clarified that the observations made



herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not express any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

