

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27945 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-9 Year-2026 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

Sri Brij Mohan Lal @ Brijmohan Lal Son of Late Bhadaï Lal Resident of
Village- Arai PS- Daudnagar District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar through Vigilance, Bihar, Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Rakesh Singh, Advocate
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Law Officer

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 27-04-2026 Heard Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, learned senior
counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned
counsel for the Vigilance Department.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
20.01.2026 in connection with Special P.S. Case No. 14 of 2026
arising out of Vigilance P.S. Case No. 09 of 2026, F.I.R. dated
15.01.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 7(a) of
the P.C. Act, 1988.

3. According to prosecution case, it is alleged that the
petitioner demanded Rs.3000/- from the complainant for
marking attendance of the duty, when she was on leave.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and fabricated. Petitioner is a clerk at Sub-Divisional Hospital, Daudnagar and as per allegation, he demanded Rs.3000/- from the complainant. He next submits that the complainant was on leave from 24.10.2025 to 02.11.2025 and the salary in question had already been credited in her account and there is no occasion for the petitioner to demand bribe from the complainant. He further submits that the Vigilance Department after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner on 16.03.2026 and the petitioner is in custody since 20.01.2026.

5. The learned counsel for the Vigilance Department has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, petitioner has clean antecedent and the Vigilance Department, after completing its investigation, has submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner; therefore, the petitioner's presence is no longer required in the present case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge, Vigilance, Patna in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No. 09 of 2026,



subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

