

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7104 of 2026

=====

Suresh Prasad Gupta S/o Late Jagnath Prasad Gupta, R/o Village Ward No.- 1,
Sursandpurvi, P.O.- Sursand, P.S.- Sursand, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga.
3. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
4. The Additional Collector, Sitamarhi.
5. The D.C.L.R., Sitamarhi.
6. The Circle Officer, Parihar Circle, District- Sitamarhi.
7. The Revenue Karamchari, Parihar, Anchal Office, District Sitamarhi.
8. Dilip Kumar Mandal, S/o Ramgulam Mandal, R/o Village- Bishwa, P.S.- Bela, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Murari, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Pankaj, AC to SC-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-06-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been preferred:

*for issuance of appropriate writ /
direction/order to the respondents for
direction to the respondents for enquiry in
the matter of creation of illegal Jamabandi in
the name of Respondent no.8 relating to the
land property of the petitioner bearing Khata
No. 235, Khesra No. 449, Area 1/2 Decimal,
Mauza Bhiswa, Anchal Parihar, District
Sitamarhi by the Circle Office and further*



*direction for cancellation of the said illegal
Jamabandi with immediate effect.*

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the respondent no. 4, the Additional Collector, Sitamarhi for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned State Counsel submits that if such petition is preferred, it will be taken to its logical conclusion in accordance with law.

5. In that background, this Court allows the petitioner to approach the concerned respondents in next four weeks who shall be deciding the matter after noticing all the relevant parties including respondent no. 8 and it is expected that the petition preferred by the petitioner shall be taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

