

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27533 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-118 Year-2025 Thana- MANJHI District- Saran

Bhim @ Bhim Prasad @ Timal S/o Chhotan Prasad @ Chhotan Sahani R/o
Village - Gora (Goda), P. S - Manjhi, District - Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Hemant Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Murli Dhar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-04-2026

1. Heard the parties.

2. This is second anticipatory bail application on behalf of the petitioner who apprehends his arrest in connection with Manjhi P.S. Case No. 118 of 2025 dated 07.04.2025 registered under Section 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 109, 352, 351(2), 351(3) & 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the first information report on 07.04.2025 at 7:00 A.M. in the morning while the informant was standing at his door, the petitioner along with other F.I.R. named accused persons armed with sword, 'garasa', 'daab' and iron rod arrived there and started abusing the informant. Upon protest, the petitioner assaulted the informant with sword near his neck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that both the parties are co-villagers and there is previous enmity between



them. A counter case has been lodged by the side of the petitioner against the informant and others bearing Manjhi P.S. Case No. 117 of 2025. F.I.R. lodged by the petitioner side is prior in time.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the informant with sword near his neck and the victim has sustained grievous injury as per the injury report.

6. After taking into consideration the fact that there is specific allegation of assault against the petitioner with sword on the neck of the informant and the informant has sustained grievous injury, this court earlier rejected the prayer for anticipatory bail on the merit of the case.

7. Again, a second anticipatory bail application has been filed and learned counsel for the petitioner tried to re-argue the matter. I do not find any merit or subsequent event for consideration of anticipatory bail application filed for the second time. Accordingly, the same is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

