

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29823 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-135 Year-2025 Thana- ABADPUR District- Katihar

=====

Md. Anwar @ Anwar @ Anwar Alam S/O Majanu R/O vill.- Sattar Ghat,
Sankola, Dist- Katihar also resides at Maltipur, Abadpur, Distt.- Katihar
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Helal Ahmad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 24-06-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Abadpur P.S. Case No. 135 of 2025, F.I.R dated 20.12.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 76, 351(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant had an altercation with the wife of the petitioner, namely Kazlee Khatun. On 13.12.2025 at about 8:00 p.m., when the informant's son, Md. Nasir, had gone to a tea shop, the petitioner, Md. Anwar, along with the co-accused persons, allegedly arrived there armed with *lathis* and *dandas*, surrounded him, and assaulted him. Upon hearing the commotion, the informant and her daughter-in-law, Mehruna Khatun, reached the spot and allegedly found the accused persons assaulting Md. Nasir. On



protest, the petitioner attempted to assault Md. Nasir with a knife and, during the ensuing scuffle to snatch the weapon, the knife struck the forehead of Md. Nasir, causing bleeding injuries. The prosecution further alleges that during the occurrence, co-accused Aali and Salim tore the *sarees* of the informant and her daughter-in-law.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners, referring to the contents of the FIR, submits that the petitioners had no intention to cause any injury and that the injuries sustained by the informant's son occurred during the course of a scuffle and snatching. It is further submitted that the injuries sustained by the injured have been found to be simple in nature. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and taking into account that the injuries are simple in nature and that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a



period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM-III, Katihar in connection with Abadpur P.S. Case No.135 of 2025 , subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

